

POSITIVE LAWS ON GENERATORS IN POWERFUL PRO- p GROUPS

C. ACCIARRI

*Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata
Università degli Studi dell'Aquila
I-67010 Coppito, L'Aquila (Italy)
Email: acciarricristina@yahoo.it*

G. A. FERNÁNDEZ-ALCOBER

*Matematika Saila
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea
48080 Bilbao (Spain)
Email: gustavo.fernandez@ehu.es*

If G is a finitely generated powerful pro- p group satisfying a certain law $v \equiv 1$, and if G can be generated by a normal subset T of finite width which satisfies a positive law, we prove that G is nilpotent. Furthermore, the nilpotency class of G can be bounded in terms of the prime p , the number of generators of G , the law $v \equiv 1$, the width of T , and the degree of the positive law. The main interest of this result is the application to verbal subgroups: if G is a p -adic analytic pro- p group in which all values of a word w satisfy positive law, and if the verbal subgroup $w(G)$ is powerful, then $w(G)$ is nilpotent.

Keywords: positive laws; powerful pro- p groups; verbal subgroups.

1. Introduction

If α and β are two group words, we say that a group G satisfies the law $\alpha \equiv \beta$ if every substitution of elements of G by the variables gives the same value for α and for β . If the words α and β are positive, i.e. if they do not involve any inverses of the variables, then we say that $\alpha \equiv \beta$ is a *positive law*. We can similarly speak about a law holding on a subset T of G , if we only substitute elements of T by the variables. Groups satisfying a positive law have received special attention in the past decade. The main result is due to Burns and Medvedev, who proved in Ref. 2 that a locally graded group G satisfies a positive law if and only if G is nilpotent-by-(locally finite of finite exponent). This applies in particular to residually finite groups.

A similar kind of problem has been considered by Shumyatsky and the second author in Ref. 5. If G is a finitely generated group and T is a set of generators satisfying a positive law, they ask whether the whole of G will also satisfy a (possibly different) positive law, provided that T is sufficiently large in some sense. In this direction, they obtain a positive answer if T is a normal subset of G which is closed under taking commutators of its elements (*commutator-closed* for short), under the assumption that G satisfies an arbitrary law and is residually- p for some prime p . More precisely, the result is proved for all primes outside a finite set $P(n)$ depending only on the *degree* n of the law (that is, the maximum of the lengths of α and β).

The result in the previous paragraph can be applied to verbal subgroups $w(G)$ in a group G , where T is considered to be the set G_w of all values of the word w in G . Note that G_w is always a normal subset. Among other results, Shumyatsky and the second author prove that, if G is a p -adic analytic pro- p group and $p \notin P(n)$ then, for every word w such that G_w is commutator-closed, a positive law on G_w implies a positive law on the whole of $w(G)$. Now two questions naturally arise: (i) can we get rid of the restriction $p \notin P(n)$?; (ii) can we get rid of the condition that G_w should be commutator-closed? If we can give a positive answer to both these questions, then the result will hold in p -adic analytic pro- p groups for all primes and for all words.

If G is a p -adic analytic pro- p group, Jaikin-Zapirain has proved (see Theorem 1.3 of Ref. 7) that the set G_w has finite width for every word w , and then, by Proposition 4.1.2 of Ref. 9, the verbal subgroup $w(G)$ is closed in G . (See Section 2 for the definition of width.) Thus $w(G)$ is again a p -adic analytic pro- p group and, according to Interlude A of Ref. 3, it contains a powerful subgroup of finite index. One of the main results of this paper is the solution of the problem raised in the last paragraph in the case when $w(G)$ itself is powerful.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a p -adic analytic pro- p group, and let w be any word. If all values of w in G satisfy a positive law and the verbal subgroup $w(G)$ is powerful, then $w(G)$ is nilpotent.*

Observe that the conclusion in the previous theorem that $w(G)$ is nilpotent is actually stronger than $w(G)$ satisfying a positive law.

Following the approach of Ref. 5, we obtain Theorem 1.1 from a more general result not involving directly word values. In this case, we work with G a finitely generated powerful pro- p group for an arbitrary prime p , and the set of generators T has to be normal and of finite width, but not

necessarily commutator-closed. Recall that, as mentioned above, if G is a p -adic analytic pro- p group, then G_w has finite width for every word w .

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a powerful d -generator pro- p group which satisfies a certain law $v \equiv 1$. Suppose that G can be generated by a normal subset T of width m that satisfies a positive law of degree n . Then G is nilpotent of bounded class.*

Here, and in the remainder of the paper, when we say that a certain invariant of a group is bounded, we mean that it is bounded above by a function of the parameters appearing in the statement of the corresponding result. Thus, in Theorem 1.2, the nilpotency class of G is bounded in terms of the prime p , the number d of generators of G , the law $v \equiv 1$, the width m of T , and the degree n of the positive law. If we want to make explicit the set S of parameters in terms of which a certain quantity is bounded, then we will use the expression ‘ S -bounded’.

We want to remark that, contrary to what happens in Theorem 1.2, we cannot guarantee that the nilpotency class of $w(G)$ is bounded in Theorem 1.1. The reason is that we are using the above-mentioned result of Jaikin-Zapirain, which provides the finite width of G_w , but not bounded width for that set.

2. The action on abelian normal sections

Our first step is to translate the positive law on the normal generating set T into a condition about the action of the elements of T on the abelian normal sections of G . More precisely, we have the following consequence of Lemma 2.1 in Ref. 5. (Let $f(X)$ be the product of the polynomials $f_1(X)$ and $f_{-1}(X)$ in the statement of that lemma.)

Lemma 2.1. *Let T be a normal subset of a group G , and assume that T satisfies a positive law of degree n . Then there exists a monic polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $2n$, depending only on the given positive law, which satisfies the following property: if A is an abelian normal section of G , then $f(t)$, viewed as an endomorphism of A , is trivial for every $t \in T \cup T^{-1}$.*

If T is a subset of a group G , we say that T has *finite width* if there exists a positive integer m such that every element of the subgroup $\langle T \rangle$ can be expressed as a product of no more than m elements of $T \cup T^{-1}$. The smallest possible value of m is then called the *width* of T .

In our next theorem, we show how some properties of the generating set T of G are hereditary for the natural generating set of $\gamma_k(G)$ which can

be constructed from T . For simplicity, if $A = K/L$ is a normal section of a group G , we say that two elements $g, h \in G$ commute modulo A if gL and hL commute modulo A (or, equivalently, if g and h commute modulo K).

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a d -generator finite p -group, and let T be a normal generating set of G . Then*

$$T_k = \{[t_1, \dots, t_k] \mid t_i \in T\}$$

is a normal generating set of $\gamma_k(G)$, and furthermore:

- (i) *If T has finite width m , then the width of T_k is at most md^{k-1} .*
- (ii) *If T satisfies a positive law of degree n , then there exists a monic polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of n -bounded degree such that $h(t_k)$ annihilates $\gamma_{k+1}(G)/\gamma_{k+1}(G)'$ for every $t_k \in T_k \cup T_k^{-1}$.*

Proof. Of course, T_k is a normal subset of G , and the proof that T_k generates $\gamma_k(G)$ is routine.

(i) We argue by induction on k . The result is obvious for $k = 1$, so we assume next that $k \geq 2$. By the Burnside Basis Theorem, we can choose $t_1, \dots, t_d \in T$ such that $G = \langle t_1, \dots, t_d \rangle$. If y is an arbitrary element of $\gamma_k(G)$, we can write

$$y = [g_1, t_1] \dots [g_d, t_d], \quad \text{for some } g_i \in \gamma_{k-1}(G), \quad (1)$$

by using Proposition 1.2.7 of Ref. 9. Now, if g is an arbitrary element of $\gamma_{k-1}(G)$, then by the induction hypothesis, we have $g = u_1 \dots u_s$ for some $u_i \in T_{k-1} \cup T_{k-1}^{-1}$, where $s \leq md^{k-2}$. Then, for every $t \in T$, we have

$$[g, t] = [u_1, t]^{u_2 \dots u_s} \dots [u_{s-1}, t]^{u_s} [u_s, t].$$

If $u_i \in T_{k-1}$, then $[u_i, t] \in T_k$; on the other hand, if $u_i \in T_{k-1}^{-1}$ then

$$[u_i, t] = ([u_i^{-1}, t]^{u_i})^{-1}$$

is an element of T_k^{-1} . Thus $[g, t]$ is a product of at most s elements of $T_k \cup T_k^{-1}$, and it follows from (1) that y is a product of no more than ds elements of $T_k \cup T_k^{-1}$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Set $A = \gamma_{k+1}(G)/\gamma_{k+1}(G)'$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a monic polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $2n$ such that $f(t)$ annihilates A for every $t \in T \cup T^{-1}$.

Let I be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ generated by $f(X_1)$ and $f(X_2)$. Since f is monic, the quotient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]/I$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module, generated by the images of the monomials $X_1^i X_2^j$ with $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n - 1$. By

Theorem 5.3 in Chapter VIII of Ref. 6, R is integral over \mathbb{Z} . In particular, there exists a monic polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $h(X_1 X_2) \in I$. Also, by examining the proof of that result in Ref. 6, it is clear that the degree of $h(X)$ is at most $(2n)^2$.

Now, let $[u, t]$ be an arbitrary element of T_k , where $u \in T_{k-1}$ and $t \in T$. Since $(t^u)^{-1}$ and t commute modulo A , these elements define commuting endomorphisms of A , and hence we can define a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2] &\longrightarrow \text{End}(A) \\ X_1 &\longmapsto (t^u)^{-1} \\ X_2 &\longmapsto t. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f((t^u)^{-1})$ and $f(t)$ are both the null endomorphism of A , it follows that $f(X_1)$ and $f(X_2)$ are contained in the kernel of φ , and so the same holds for the ideal I . Hence $h(X_1 X_2) \in \ker \varphi$, which means that $h([u, t])$ is the null endomorphism of A .

We can similarly prove that $h([t, u]) = 0$ in $\text{End}(A)$, by defining $\psi : \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2] \longrightarrow \text{End}(A)$ via the assignments $X_1 \mapsto t^{-1}$ and $X_2 \mapsto t^u$. Thus $h(t_k)$ annihilates A for every $t_k \in T_k \cup T_k^{-1}$. \square

Finally, for a certain k , we are able to get an Engel action of all k -th powers of the elements of G on some abelian normal sections of G .

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a finite p -group generated by a normal subset T which has width m . Suppose that A is an abelian normal section of G such that the elements of T commute pairwise modulo A , and that for some monic polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $f(t)$ annihilates A for all $t \in T \cup T^{-1}$. Then:*

- (i) *There exists an $\{m, f\}$ -bounded integer r such that $[A, {}_r g] \leq A^p$ for every $g \in G$.*
- (ii) *There exist $\{m, f\}$ -bounded integers n and k such that $[A, {}_n g^k] = 1$ for every $g \in G$.*

Proof. The first part of the proof is similar to the proof of (ii) in the last theorem. Let us write n for the degree of $f(X)$. Consider the quotient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$, where I is the ideal generated by the polynomials $f(X_1), \dots, f(X_m)$. Then R is integral over \mathbb{Z} , and there exists a monic polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree at most n^m such that $h(X_1 \dots X_m) \in I$. Now let g be an arbitrary element of G . Since T generates G and has width m , we can write $g = t_1 \dots t_m$ for some $t_i \in T \cup T^{-1}$. The map $X_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, X_m \mapsto t_m$ extends to a ring homomorphism

$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m] \longrightarrow \text{End}(A)$, since the elements of T commute pairwise modulo A . Since $f(t_1) = \dots = f(t_m) = 0$, it follows that $I \subseteq \ker \varphi$. Consequently, $h(g) = h(t_1 \dots t_m) = \varphi(h(X_1 \dots X_m)) = 0$. Thus we have found a monic polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $h(g)$ annihilates A for all $g \in G$. Note that the polynomial $h(X)$ only depends on $f(X)$ and m , but not on the particular element g or on the section A .

(i) Since G is a finite p -group, we have $[A, {}_c G] = 1$ for some c . Let $(X-1)^r$ be the greatest common divisor of $(X-1)^c$ and $h(X)$, when these polynomials are considered in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$. Since $r \leq \deg h$, it follows that r is $\{m, f\}$ -bounded. By Bézout's identity, we can write

$$(X-1)^r = p(X)(X-1)^c + q(X)h(X),$$

for some $p(X), q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$. If we consider an element $g \in G$, and substitute g for X in the previous expression, then, as endomorphisms of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space A/A^p , we get $(g-1)^r = 0$. This means that $[A, {}_r g] \leq A^p$, as desired.

(ii) Let J be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ generated by all polynomials $h(X^i)$ with $i \geq 1$. Then, if $j(X) \in J$, it follows that $j(g) = 0$ for every $g \in G$. By Lemma 3.3 of Ref. 10, there exist positive integers q, k and ℓ such that

$$qX^\ell(X^k-1)^\ell \in J,$$

where q, k, ℓ depend only on $h(X)$, so only on $f(X)$ and m . Then

$$A^{qg^\ell(g^k-1)^\ell} = 1, \quad \text{for every } g \in G.$$

If p^s is the largest power of p which divides q , then $A^q = A^{p^s}$, since A is a finite p -group. Also, we have $A^g = A$. Hence

$$A^{p^s(g^k-1)^\ell} = 1$$

or, what is the same,

$$[A^{p^s}, g^k, \dots, g^k] = 1 \tag{2}$$

for every $g \in G$.

Now, it follows from part (i) that

$$[A^{p^i}, {}_r g] \leq A^{p^{i+1}}, \quad \text{for every } i \geq 0, \text{ and for every } g \in G.$$

This, together with (2), shows that

$$[A, {}_n g^k] = 1, \quad \text{for all } g \in G,$$

where $n = sr + \ell$. □

3. Proof of the Main Theorems

We will begin by proving Theorem 1.2. In order to show that the powerful pro- p group G is nilpotent, we will rely on the following two lemmas. The first one is a classical result of Philip Hall (see, for example, Theorem 3.26 of Ref. 8), and the other one says that for a finitely generated powerful pro- p group ‘nilpotent-by-finite’ is the same as ‘nilpotent’.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a group, and let N be a normal subgroup of G . If N is nilpotent of class k and G/N' is nilpotent of class c , then G is nilpotent of $\{k, c\}$ -bounded class.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a finitely generated powerful pro- p group. If G has a normal subgroup N of finite index which is nilpotent of class c , then G itself is nilpotent of $\{c, e\}$ -bounded class, where e is the exponent of G/N .*

Proof. We prove the result for $p > 2$. For $p = 2$, the same proof applies with some little changes.

It follows from the hypotheses that G^e is nilpotent of class at most c . By Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.5 in Ref. 4, we get

$$[G^{e^{c+1}}, G, \dots, G] = [G, {}^{c+1}G]^{e^{c+1}} = [G^e, {}^{c+1}G^e] = 1. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, since G is powerful, we have $\gamma_{i+1}(G) \leq G^{p^i}$ for all $i \geq 1$. As a consequence, for some $\{c, e\}$ -bounded integer k we have $\gamma_{k+1}(G) \leq G^{e^{c+1}}$. This, together with (3), shows that G is nilpotent of class at most $k + c$, and we are done. \square

Note that we could have written the previous lemma under the apparently weaker assumption that the exponent of G/N is finite, rather than N being of finite index in G . However, if G is a finitely generated powerful pro- p group, these two conditions are equivalent: if $\exp G/N = p^k$, then G^{p^k} is contained in N , and then by Theorem 3.6 of Ref. 3, we have $|G : N| \leq |G : G^{p^k}| \leq p^{kd}$, where d is the minimum number of generators of G as a topological group. (In fact, the assumption that G should be powerful is not necessary for this equivalence, since $|G : G^{p^k}|$ is finite for every finitely generated pro- p group. But this is a much deeper result, which needs Zelmanov’s positive solution of the Restricted Burnside Problem.)

We also need the following result of Black (see Corollary 2 in Ref. 1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite group of rank r satisfying a law $v \equiv 1$. Then, there exists an $\{r, v\}$ -bounded number k such that $\gamma_k((G^{k!})') = 1$. In particular, if G is soluble, then the derived length of G is $\{r, v\}$ -bounded.*

Note that the positive solution to the Restricted Burnside Problem is needed for the conclusion in the soluble case: thus we know that the quotient $G/G^{k!}$ has bounded order, and so also bounded derived length.

We can now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the result is known for G a finite p -group, so that all finite p -groups satisfying the conditions of the theorem have nilpotency class at most c , for some bounded number c . Now if G is a pro- p group as in the statement of the theorem, and N is an arbitrary open normal subgroup of G , it follows that $\gamma_{c+1}(G) \leq N$. Thus necessarily $\gamma_{c+1}(G) = 1$ and the result is valid also for pro- p groups.

Hence we may assume that G is a d -generator finite powerful p -group. By Theorem 11.18 of Ref. 8, it follows that G has rank d , i.e. that every subgroup of G can be generated by d elements. Since G satisfies the law $v \equiv 1$, by Theorem 3.1 we have $G^{(s)} = 1$ for some bounded number s . We argue by induction on s .

If $s \leq 2$, i.e. if G is metabelian, then the elements of T commute pairwise modulo G' . Choose generators g_1, \dots, g_d of G . By Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, since T satisfies a positive law, we know that there exist bounded numbers n and k such that $[G', {}_n g_i^k] = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. As a consequence, the subgroups $\langle g_i^k, G' \rangle$ have bounded nilpotency class. Thus $G^k G' = \langle g_1^k, \dots, g_d^k, G' \rangle$ is the product of d normal subgroups of bounded class, and so has bounded class itself. Since $|G : G^k G'| \leq k^d$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that G has bounded nilpotency class. This concludes the proof in the metabelian case.

Assume now that $s \geq 3$. We claim that the nilpotency class of $G/\gamma_{k+1}(G)'$ is bounded for all $k \geq 1$ (here, we must also take k into account for the bound). The result is true for $k = 1$, according to the last paragraph. Now we argue by induction on k . By Theorem 2.1, T_k is a normal set of generators of $\gamma_k(G)$ of bounded width. Also, the elements of T_k commute pairwise modulo $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$. On the other hand, by (ii) of Theorem 2.1, there exists a monic polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $h(t_k)$ annihilates the abelian normal section $A = \gamma_{k+1}(G)/\gamma_{k+1}(G)'$ for every $t_k \in T_k$. Thus we may argue as in the metabelian case above with the group $Q = \gamma_k(G)/\gamma_{k+1}(G)'$ and deduce that Q has bounded nilpotency class. Since $G/\gamma_k(G)'$ has also bounded class by the induction hypothesis, the claim follows from Lemma 3.1.

Now that the claim is proved, the result easily follows. Indeed, since $G/G^{(s-1)}$ has bounded class by induction, there exists a bounded integer ℓ

such that $\gamma_{\ell+1}(G) \leq G^{(s-1)}$. Hence $\gamma_{\ell+1}(G)' = 1$, and G has bounded class by the previous claim. \square

Now Theorem 1.1 follows readily from Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As already mentioned, the set G_w of all values of w in G is a normal subset of G , and in particular of $w(G)$. Also, by Theorem 1.3 of Ref. 7, G_w has finite width, say m .

Let $\alpha \equiv \beta$ be the positive law satisfied by the set G_w , and suppose that the number of variables used in the law $\alpha \equiv \beta$ and in the word w is k and ℓ , respectively. Now, consider $k\ell$ arbitrary elements $g_1, \dots, g_{k\ell}$ of G . Since the k elements $w(g_1, \dots, g_\ell), \dots, w(g_{(k-1)\ell+1}, \dots, g_{k\ell})$ satisfy the law $\alpha \equiv \beta$, it follows that

$$\alpha(w(g_1, \dots, g_\ell), \dots, w(g_{(k-1)\ell+1}, \dots, g_{k\ell})) = \beta(w(g_1, \dots, g_\ell), \dots, w(g_{(k-1)\ell+1}, \dots, g_{k\ell})).$$

This means that the group G satisfies a law $v \equiv 1$, where v is a word which depends only on w and on the positive law $\alpha \equiv \beta$. In particular, the law $v \equiv 1$ is also satisfied by $w(G)$.

Now, we can apply directly Theorem 1.2 to the group $w(G)$ and the generating set G_w , in order to conclude that $w(G)$ is nilpotent. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are supported by the Spanish Government, grant MTM2008-06680-C02-02, partly with FEDER funds, and by the Department of Education, Universities and Research of the Basque Government, grants IT-252-07 and IT-460-10. The second author is also supported by a grant of the University of L'Aquila.

References

1. S. Black, 'A finitary Tits alternative', *Arch. Math.* 72 (1999), 86–91.
2. R.G. Burns and Yu. Medvedev, 'Group laws implying virtual nilpotence', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 74 (2003), 295–312.
3. J.D. Dixon, M.P.F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, *Analytic Pro-p Groups*, second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 61 (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
4. G.A. Fernández-Alcober, J. González-Sánchez, and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, 'Omega subgroups of pro- p groups', *Israel J. Math.* 166 (2008), 393–412.
5. G.A. Fernández-Alcober and P. Shumyatsky, 'Positive laws on word values in residually- p groups', preprint.

6. T.W. Hungerford, *Algebra* (Springer-Verlag, 1974).
7. A. Jaikin-Zapirain, 'On the verbal width of finitely generated pro- p groups', *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 24 (2008), 617–630.
8. E.I. Khukhro, *p -Automorphisms of Finite p -Groups*, LMS Lect. Notes, Vol. 246 (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
9. D. Segal, *Words: Notes on Verbal Width in Groups*, LMS Lect. Notes, Vol. 361 (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
10. J.F. Semple and A. Shalev, 'Combinatorial conditions in residually finite groups Γ ', *J. Algebra* 157 (1993), no. 1, 43–50.